

Title of meeting:	Scrutiny Management Panel				
Date of meeting:	21 December 2015				
Subject:	Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 26 November 2015 in respect of item 4 on that agenda 'MB and MC residents parking zones, Southsea' - Call in specifically in relation to LB zone.				
Report by:	City Solicitor				
Wards affected:	Southsea				
Key decision:	No				
Full Council decision:	No				

1. Purpose of report

The purpose of the report is to ask the Panel to review the decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 26 November 2015 in respect of item 4 on that agenda 'MB and MC residents parking zones, Southsea' - specifically in relation to LB zone. The report which was considered by the Cabinet Member on 26 November together with the minute of the amended decision is attached as Appendix 1 to this report.

2. Call In and alternative decision making

The call-in has been made in accordance with Part 3 of the Constitution of the Council. The summary of reasons for call in are:-

- 2.1. Inaccurate or incorrect information; and
- 2.2. Inadequate information.

Further details for the reasons for call in are attached as Appendix 2.

3. Recommendations

RECOMMENDED that

- The Panel considers the evidence and decides whether or not the decision made by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 26 November 2015 - specifically in relation to LB zone - should be upheld or referred back to the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation for reconsideration
- (2) If the Panel decides to refer the matter back to the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation, it provides its reasons for doing so and reasons why it should be reconsidered.



4. Background

- 4.1. Please see attached report and minute which provide the background to the decision made on 26 November 2015 (Appendix 1).
- 4.2. As the decision is not contrary to budget or policy, steps may be taken to implement the decision

5. Reasons for recommendations

To ensure that the Scrutiny Management Panel is satisfied that the decision maker had accurate correct information and adequate information.

6. Equality impact assessment

An equality impact assessment is not required as the recommendations do not have a negative impact on any of the protected characteristics as described in the Equality Act 2010.

7. Legal implications

There are none.

8. Director of Finance's comments

There are no financial implications arising directly from the recommendation contained in this report. Financial implications arising from recommendations contained in any future report will be included at that time.

Signed by:

Appendices:

Appendix 1

- (a) Report for Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation 26 November 2015
- (b) Minute of decisions taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 26 November 2015

Appendix 2

- Call in request

-



Background list of documents: Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972

The following documents disclose facts or matters, which have been relied upon to a material extent by the author in preparing this report:

Title of document	Location

Signed	by:			